

<b>12</b>	<b>12a</b>	Use mathematical induction to prove that $2^{3n} - 3^n$ is divisible by 5 for $n \geq 1$ .	<b>3</b>
<p>Step 1: Prove true for <math>n = 1</math>:</p> $2^3 - 3^1 = 8 - 3$ $= 5, \text{ which is divisible by } 5$ <p><math>\therefore</math> true for <math>n = 1</math></p> <p>Step 2: Assume true for <math>n = k</math></p> <p>Let <math>2^{3k} - 3^k = 5M</math>, where <math>M</math> is an integer.</p> <p>Now prove true for <math>n = k + 1</math></p> $2^{3(k+1)} - 3^{k+1} = 2^{3k+3} - 3^{k+1}$ $= 2^{3k} \cdot 2^3 - 3^k \cdot 3$ $= 8 \times 2^{3k} - 3 \cdot 3^k$ $= 5 \times 2^{3k} + 3 \times 2^{3k} - 3 \cdot 3^k$ $= 5 \times 2^{3k} + 3(2^{3k} - 3^k)$ $= 5 \times 2^{3k} + 3(5M)$ $= 5[2^{3k} + 3M], \text{ which is divisible by } 5$ <p><math>\therefore</math> true for <math>n = k + 1</math></p> <p>Step 3: As true for <math>n = 1</math>, then true for <math>n = 2, 3, 4, \dots</math> for all integers <math>n \geq 1</math>.</p>			<p>State Mean: <b>2.32/3</b></p>

\* These solutions have been provided by [projectmaths](http://projectmaths.com.au) and are not supplied or endorsed by the Board of Studies

### Board of Studies: Notes from the Marking Centre

Most candidates made reasonable progress in this induction proof. Almost all showed that  $P(1)$  was true and wrote statements for  $P(k)$  and  $P(k+1)$ . However, there were some transcription errors between statements. Most candidates used their assumption to complete a proof. Candidates who demonstrated better algebraic skills established  $P(k+1)$  correctly. Candidates who followed standard methods often completed efficient and correct proofs. While many candidates could write a statement like  $2^{3k} - 3^k = 5M$ , they did not state that  $M$  was an integer. Some candidates used unorthodox mathematical notations, such as  $2^{3k} - 3^k / 5$  or  $2^{3k} - 3^k = \frac{P}{5}$  which do not demonstrate clear understanding. In some weaker responses, candidates substituted twice which made the task more complicated. A handful of candidates began with the  $P(k)$  statement and built it up to arrive correctly at the  $P(k+1)$  statement, in an unusual but valid approach.

Source: [http://www.boardofstudies.nsw.edu.au/hsc\\_exams/](http://www.boardofstudies.nsw.edu.au/hsc_exams/)