

- TG 16 M** **14 b** A gardener develops an eco-friendly spray that will kill harmful insects on fruit trees without contaminating the fruit. A trial is to be conducted with 100 000 insects. The gardener expects the spray to kill 35% of the insects each day and exactly 5000 new insects will be produced each day.
- The number of insects expected at the end of the n th day of the trial is A_n .
- (i) Show that $A_2 = 0.65(0.65 \times 100\,000 + 5000) + 5000$. **2**
- (ii) Show that $A_n = 0.65^n \times 100\,000 + 5000 \frac{(1 - 0.65^n)}{0.35}$. **1**
- (iii) Find the expected insect population at the end of the fourteenth day, correct to the nearest 100. **1**

(i) As 35% of insects die, then 65% of insects survive.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore A_1 &= 0.65 \times 100\,000 + 5000 \\ A_2 &= 0.65 \times A_1 + 5000 \\ &= 0.65(0.65 \times 100\,000 + 5000) + 5000 \end{aligned}$$

State Mean:
1.65

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } A_2 &= 0.65^2 \times 100\,000 + 0.65 \times 5000 + 5000 \\ &= 0.65^2 \times 100\,000 + 5000(1 + 0.65) \\ \therefore A_n &= 0.65^n \times 100\,000 + 5000(1 + 0.65 \\ &\quad + 0.65^2 + \dots + 0.65^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Using a geometric sum with $a = 1$, $r = 0.65$,

$$n = n, S_n = \frac{a(1 - r^n)}{1 - r} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= 0.65^n \times 100\,000 + 5000 \left[\frac{1(1 - 0.65^n)}{1 - 0.65} \right] \\ &= 0.65^n \times 100\,000 + 5000 \frac{(1 - 0.65^n)}{0.35} \end{aligned}$$

State Mean:
0.60

(iii) Let $n = 14$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{14} &= 0.65^{14} \times 100\,000 + 5000 \frac{(1 - 0.65^{14})}{0.35} \\ &= 14\,491.70147\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$= 14\,500 \text{ (nearest hundred)}$$

\therefore the expected population of 14 500.

State Mean:
0.84

* These solutions have been provided by [projectmaths](http://projectmaths.com.au) and are not supplied or endorsed by NESA

Looking for **Mathematics Advanced** Topic Revision?

Go to our [MathsFit](#) page for downloads @ \$2.95 each



BOSTES: Notes from the Marking Centre

Most showed a good understanding of how to develop the series and identify it as a GP.

- (i) The majority showed an understanding of the nature of the question and the link between A_1 and A_2 . Common problems were:
- using 1.65 or 0.35 instead of 0.65
 - finding A_1 only
 - deriving an incorrect expression for A_1 leading to an incorrect expression for A_2
 - incorrect use or omission of brackets when writing the expressions for A_1 and A_2 or inconsistently omitting zeros in 100 000 or 5000.
- (ii) Most showed the progression from A_2 to A_3 and onto A_n , displaying the geometric series with three consecutive terms and the last term, and correctly substituting into the GP sum formula. Common problems were:
- attempting to identify a GP with only 2 terms
 - attempting to work backwards from the required result
 - omitting the first or last term of the GP.
- (iii) This question was completed well by most candidates. Common problems were:
- calculator errors
 - misinterpreting the statement 'correct to the nearest 100' to mean $n = 100$, hence incorrectly substituting 100 into the formula
 - using $n = 13$ or $n = 15$.